The Current Issue and Full Text Archive of this Journal is available on
The Science Publishing House at www.scipubhouse.com

https://www.doi.org/10.56830/IJSOL122025

Innovative Learning Practices for Enhancing Employee Engagement and Organizational Resilience

doi.org/10.56830/IJSOL12202506

Received:26/09/2025
Revised: 27/10/2025
Accepted 30/11/2025

Arta Grubi

Anchor Institute, Pristina, Kosovo

The Corresponding author: admission@anchor-institute.com

Abstract

Innovative learning practices are the key to employee engagement and organizational resilience. Based on Self-Determination Theory, psychological safety, and transformative learning theory, these practices support autonomy, mastery, risk-taking, and mindset transformation - all essential elements for meaningful growth in the workplace. This study provides a typology of innovative learning practices such as micro learning, experiential learning, digital twins, collaborative platforms, and personalized adaptive pathways with an emphasis on their potential to improve the effectiveness of learning and foster cultures of continuous improvement. Employee engagement is seen as a mediator that enhances creativity, commitment, and innovative behavior through reflective and expansive learning experiences. Learning agility and innovation ecosystems are also highlighted as major enablers of organizational resilience by supporting adaptive capability and knowledge transfer in an increasingly complex business environment. Effective implementation requires strong governance frameworks that define leadership roles, ethical considerations, equitable access to participants' data management protocols in order to protect both innovation and participant wellbeing. The combination of formal and informal learning mechanisms is stressed as critical particularly in situations involving workforce mobility or organizational change. In sum, this research lays out a strategic path for using innovative learning as a lever to empower employees while enhancing an organization's adaptability to dynamic environments. Future research should focus more on informal learning's contribution to work design in order to improve knowledge flow and resource efficiency.

Keywords: Innovative Learning Practices, Employee Engagement, Organizational Resilience

THE SCIENCE PUBLISHING HOUSE
International Journal of Strategy and Organisational Learning

Vol.2 No.2

1. Introduction

Today's organizations are constantly challenged by disruptive technological advances, regulatory changes, unpredictable business cycles, and shifting customer priorities. These pressures have amplified the urgency and criticality of employee engagement, sustainability, and organizational resilience—the capacity to prepare, recover, and grow in the face of adversity. State-of-the-art literature suggests a robust link between innovative learning practices, employee engagement, and the enhancement of organizational resilience. Nonetheless, there is a dearth of insights into specific dimensions of innovative learning practices in the workplace and evidence about their intertwined effects on employee engagement and organizational resilience.

Through a comprehensive examination of extant works, this study investigates various innovative learning practices, describes a typology grounded in the literature to facilitate

their characterization, and maps their potential impact on employee engagement and organizational resilience. Definitions of "innovative learning" and "innovative learning practices" are proposed to clarify the analysis. Innovative learning refers to learning that accelerates the development of new capabilities critical for organizational survival and success. Innovative learning practices encompass fresh, original approaches that align both with innovative learning and with any established form of learning or learning activity. The examination then delves into seven innovative learning practices—involving microlearning, experiential training, digital-twin and simulation-based learning, collaborative and social platforms, and personalized pathways—while identifying direct and indirect mechanisms through which they contribute to employee engagement and, subsequently, to organizational resilience.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Innovative Learning

Adult learning principles only partially address the needs of workforce development in organizational settings. Adult learning principles are partly grounded in Lindeman's (1973) andragogy, which distinguishes adult from child learning methods, Self-Determination Theory (SDT), and in Kolb's (1984) experiential learning. While Lindeman's (1973) andragogy asserts that adults require learning relevant to life situations, work-based training often does not address this need. While work-based training may be the most realistic option for many employees within certain organizations, it may be desirable to enhance learner conditions perceived as deficient during in-house training.

SDT proposes that autonomy, competence, and social relatedness are basic psychological needs that underpin intrinsic motivation. Within the workplace, support for autonomy and mastery contributes to engagement and innovative behavior (Jo & Hong, 2022) ; these conditions should therefore be strived for in workplace learning. Kolb's (1984) experiential learning offers a widely accepted framework for understanding workplace learning processes; Kolb's (1984) model centers around theory building, experimentation, observation, and reflection. Kolb's four-stage cycle reflects the theoretical underpinnings of workplace learning settings; Kolb's (1984) experiential learning model represents either a general framework for describing the learning component of workplace learning or merely an indication that a general model is absent. Kolb's model enables the design of programmes and measures to provide opportunities for knowledge acquisition and competence enhancement. The model is problematic, however, because several interactions considered important in learning processes are lacking. (Jang & Kim, 2025).

In learning organization environments, knowledge is created and shared continuously throughout the organization. Over recent decades, the wider context of workplace learning has evolved from an individual to a shared, community-based perspective. A body of knowledge known variously as learning culture, learning climate or learning

organization has emerged that emphasizes the social dimension of learning (Tahir Nadeem, 2018).

Workplaces characterized by high social support can facilitate employees' learning; where workplaces support and reward initiative, employees are likely to engage in extra-role activities that foster innovation. For special systems and configurations, however, an extensive range of dimensions is presented and few dimensions are shared. The dimensions given for the workplace focus at a higher level than delivery mechanisms or support strategies and clearly play a crucial part in system effectiveness. Each of these movements highlights the potential of organizations to become learning entities, indicating that either individual learning or organizational learning still resides at the heart of the enterprise. (Sigursteinsdottir & Karlsdottir, 2022).

2.1. Adult Learning Principles and Beyond

Two intertwined developments have significantly influenced workplace learning over the last century. First, a growing awareness of how adults learn has spurred the proliferation of principles, frameworks, and models that share in common an emphasis on inclusivity, self-direction, and experiential learning. This body of work, though advanced considerably since Knowles' early advocacy of andragogy, remains only partially realized in organizational practice. Second, the evolution of digital technology has democratized access to information and expertise and enabled new forms of individual and collaborative learning. Organizations have more opportunities than ever to help people appeal to their existing knowledge, experience, and motivation and to learn intimately and widely from others in formal, informal, and social settings. (Vallo Hult & Byström, 2022).

The impetus to recognize, honor, and nurture how adults learn can be traced through three widely cited frameworks, each of which has shaped its successors. Knowles' specification of andragogy came in response to a growing recognition that the motivations, capacities, and requirements for learning differed for adults and children. The era of andragogy has largely given way to one of Self-Determination Theory (Dayaram & Fung, 2014), which centers on motivation as the key mediating variable determining the extent to which a learning opportunity translates into actual learning and behavior change. A third widely adopted framework focuses on experiential learning, notably defined by Kolb as learning through the resolution of a conflict between experience and what one already knows or believes (Smith, Dymock, & Billett, 2013).

In complementary fashion, the concept of psychological safety promotes a climate in which risk-taking, vulnerability, and openness to the viewpoints of others are not merely tolerated but actively encouraged. Such an environment is a prerequisite for all higher-order learning processes, whether collaborative or individual, experiential or transformational, because its absence makes individuals hesitant to deviate from established mindsets, practices, and skills. The two preconditions of a safe environment and the principles of adult learning have become foundational to expansive learning

strategies. Even modest attempts to incorporate these ideas into workplace instruction promise more fruitful employee engagement, greater adaptability to rapidly shifting demands, and stronger organizational resilience. (Sacramento, Lyubovnikova, Martinaityte, Gomes, Curral, & Juhasz-Wrench, 2024)

2.2. Psychological Safety and Learning Cultures

For learning to take place, it is necessary to create a safe environment in which individuals feel included and free to participate without the fear of judgment or retribution (Hardie, O'Donovan, Jarvis, & Redmond, 2022). In the workplace, supportive leadership styles, teamwork, and collaborations are vital contributors to psychological safety because they promote the smooth exchange of information and resources (M. Elsayed, Zhao, El-mohsen Goda, & M. Elsetouhi, 2023). Therefore, organizations should develop an inclusive learning culture that allows every employee to enhance their skills by being exposed to diverse viewpoints and ideas through sharing, discussions, and valuable feedback.

The term "psychological safety" describes a shared belief that the team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking and open communication, as well as a perception of a supportive work environment free of humiliating and embarrassing experiences. Individuals who feel a sense of psychological safety are likely to engage in open information sharing and participation in group learning and to provide and receive learning-oriented feedback accumulated on the job. Furthermore, such a climate plays an essential role in alleviating employees' perceived interpersonal risks and thereby promotes innovative work behaviours. (AlMunthiri, Bani Melhem, Mohd Shamsudin, & Al-Naqbi, 2024)

2.3. Transformative Learning and Growth Mindset

Transformative learning theory recognizes the emergence of "transformative learning," during which individuals encounter learning experiences that profoundly challenge their assumptions and perspectives. Even when foundational encounters spur transformative learning, the change may not be sufficient to generate resilient capacities. The theory further identifies three elements considered to encourage transformative learning: (1) experiential learning is seen as the trigger for transformative learning, (2) sense-making is viewed as the conceptual tool needed to understand and reflect on an experience, and (3) psychological safety is perceived as a precondition for acceptance of dissonant learning experiences, enabling the re-evaluation of mindsets or emerging capacities. Resilience formation also includes elements that are relevant at early systemic cycles but may not be sufficient to sustain resilience overall. (Cranton, 2023)

In parallel, growing attention is directed toward the "growth mindset," a concept popularized by psychologist Carol Dweck. At the core of this philosophy is the belief that ability and intelligence can be developed independently of biological endowment. While feedback from formative assessments can foster development, it may be insufficient to effect a fundamental cultural transformation toward a growth mindset. Clear experimental findings indicate that capacity-building solutions capture greater attention than process-

centric, mind-set-change solutions. Ultimately, effort and challenge are recognized as essential dimensions of growth, but a substantial number of employees remain reluctant to embrace "learning" opportunities that require extra effort or expose them to potential failure. An integrated theory of transformative learning illuminates the rationale underlying mindset-change learning interventions (Rowe, Wall, Cregan, Evans, & Hindley, 2019).

3. Innovative Learning Practices in Practice

Innovative learning refers to novel practices and associated technological solutions that can profoundly enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of employee learning and support a culture of continuous improvement and experimentation. Several innovative learning practices, supported by European and global organizations and learning firms, include:

3.1. Microlearning and Just-In-Time Knowledge

The rapidly changing and complex business environment puts pressure on organizations to enhance the knowledge and skills of their employees. Continuous learning, upskilling, and reskilling have become paramount to help employees cope with ever-changing job requirements and organizational transformations. Organizations can only truly benefit from the plethora of knowledge and resources available today if employees engage with them while at work. Learning situated in the workplace, among daily tasks, projects, and responsibilities, addresses this need. Microlearning, or just-in-time knowledge, delivers small, digestible units of information during the flow of work. (Hasan, Haque, Nishat, & Hossain, 2024).

Microlearning refers to bite-sized, easily digestible packets of information intended for on-demand access (Dolowitz, Collier, Hayes, & Kumsal, 2022). Organizations can no longer afford lengthy training interventions. Materials must only cover essential concepts and be deliverable in five minutes or less. Learning pathways must be flexible and adaptive, allowing employees to address their most pressing learning gaps first. Information-seeking behaviour indicates that employees retain only 20% to 30% of what they learn during training. Formal training remains expensive and uncertain, as many elements prove irrelevant and are forgotten.

Microlearning also enhances retention. Information becomes easier to recall when only the most important concepts are distilled. Spaced learning, repeating and confirming knowledge over time, further increases retention (Wang, Towey, Yuk-kwan Ng, & Singh Gill, 2021). Organizations are seeking more straightforward yet expandable approaches to support their learning and development needs.

3.2. Experiential and Experiential-Driven Training

Experiential learning advocates for a five-stage iterative development process: students are exposed to concrete experiences, reflect on their experiences, and amend their

performance before taking part in further experience (Perkins, 2016). Such training can also involve on-the-job learning where participants work on real tasks that contribute to the organization's objectives (Frison & Tino, 2019). This approach fosters job-related skills in context and encourages employees to share their experiences, challenges, and insights with peers. Experience sharing is complemented by reflection cycles where learners analyze and document what worked and what did not, facilitating community-wide knowledge accumulation.

Experiential learning enhances the applicability of learning, improves learning capacity and retention, and motivates learners by leveraging intrinsic motivational factors such as autonomy and mastery.

3.3. Digital Twin and Simulation-Based Learning

The digital twin concept is applicable to both physical and non-physical systems allowing users to visualize and simulate a real-world system or process without disrupting ongoing operations. Its role in education is to facilitate the development and transfer of knowledge applied to implement decision-making and problem-solving processes in students, thus helping to understand complex systems. Despite its capacity to simulate any of the physical variables or the system operation, the universal model built still neglected the virtual visualisation of students activities or the system usage; to control that simulation, the sequence of activities on the system is required (Miri, 2018).

In a manufacturing context, a digital twin improves learning by enhancing situation awareness, and monitoring the system operation. The work developed extended the original implementation of the digital twin allowing to better visualise the workflow of a flexible manufacturing system model used to illustrate the concept of processing constraints and resource priorities and guiding the configuration of off-line simulation models for different installations of educational plants (Samuel David, 2018).

3.4. Collaborative and Social Learning Platforms

Organizations increasingly deploy designated linked environments (for instance, communities of practice, collaborative tools, and knowledge-sharing platforms) that support collective sense-making, peer coaching, lesson-sharing, and consulting to speed and disseminate responses to complex work-related issues and challenges (Razmerita & Tan, 2017). Peer consultations generate 'double-loop learning' that fosters the reexamination of taken-for-granted assumptions and deepens understandings of the work itself. Employees construct shared materials—such as project reports, case studies, best practices, and lessons learned—and place these in a shared space. Systems of this nature serve several purposes: registering and uploading lessons learned from the completion of major assignments, creating databases of models and templates for the common use of these outputs, and raising awareness of precedents and existing good practices.

3.5. Personalized and Adaptive Learning Pathways

In developing personalized and adaptive learning pathways, initial diagnostics are conducted to assess every learner's starting point, covering their existing knowledge,

skills and abilities, and personal objectives. The learning experience is then tailored to individual needs and preferences concerning content, pace, avenue, and sequencing. Established mastery-centric approaches inform the calibration of difficulty levels and selection of additional material (Liu, et al., 2019); (van der Sluijs & Michael Höver, 2009) ; (Vassoyan, Vie, & Lemberger, 2023).

4. Impact on Employee Engagement

Employee engagement is understood as a positive psychological state with cognitive, emotional, and behavioral components associated with job and organization. Cognitive engagement involves mental energy directed toward positive outcomes, emotional engagement reflects willingness to invest emotionally, and behavioral engagement pertains to the intention to behave in ways that enhance performance. Engagement facilitates cooperative interpersonal tactics, reduces workplace conflict, and creates a positive work environment. It is a key factor in building positive, innovative workplaces and improving employees' physical and psychological health. Employee engagement positively influences innovative behavior by allowing employees to revisit experiences, broaden perceptions, and generate new ideas. Physical engagement helps overcome stress and maintain motivation during innovation. Engaged employees are proactive, persistent, collaborate effectively, and invest energy in their work. Engagement depends on learning agility and opportunities for continuous learning, inquiry, and dialogue, which motivate employees and facilitate growth. Efficacy, related to being fully absorbed and exerting effort, also contributes to employee engagement (Jo & Hong, 2022).

4.1. Engagement Metrics and Measurement Approaches

Engagement represents the connection between an individual and a stimulus and incorporates the notion of willingness to devote time or effort. Engagement can manifest in several forms, including cognitive, emotional, and behavioral, and is often characterized by forbearance, investment, and attention on the user's part. Each type of engagement can furthermore be assessed along three time-oriented dimensions, namely temporal (i.e., involvement over time), initiative-oriented (i.e., activities voluntarily undertaken), and interaction-oriented (i.e., the gradual intensity of interactivity depending on the nature of the stimulus). Engagement is increasingly recognized as a relevant factor in educational and organizational settings since it is associated with positive outcomes such as enhanced learning, creativity, and task performance (de Vreede, Andel, de Vreede, Spector, Singh, & Padmanabhan, 2019). However, engagement assessments are often limited to specific domains (e.g., e-learning), making it difficult to capture the connection between external stimuli and the corresponding reaction across different contexts.

4.2. Motivation, Autonomy, and Mastery

The workplace itself cannot serve as a source of motivation. Motivation is an internal drive to act, it comes from within an individual. The workplace can only have an impact on motivation through staff efforts to support an inpidual's sense of intrinsic motivation.

Research shows that satisfying basic psychological needs for relatedness, competence, and autonomy at work facilitates autonomous motivation (Helkama, 2019). Autonomy-supportive conditions are further described as encouraging initiative, freedom of choice, input in decisions, opportunities for self-direction, endorsing instead of controlling or pressuring, and conveying appreciation for interest and preferences (Polvinen, 2017). Autonomy-supportive environments and experiences for staff promote engagement in learning, repair inpidual meaning-making capabilities, and enhance feelings of safety in expressing personal perspectives.

Experiences of mastery motivate both staff and organizations to reinforce learning. Learning allows staff to take actions that result in a change of behavior or in improved ability to sufficiently cope with the task. Mastering something that is perceived as difficult promotes the take-up and pursuit of more demanding challenges. The feeling of mastery is experienced when a person increases their competence through challenging real-life experiences and acts that allow practicing new practices. Repeated take-up of new activities, responsibilities, and skills can also constitute mastery regardless of challenge level, as staff enhance their own learning agility and knowledge of what and how to learn next. (Winget & Persky, 2022).

4.3. Psychological Contracts and Trust

Psychological contracts represent mutual beliefs between employers and employees regarding their responsibilities. When employees feel their expectations are being met, they exhibit higher levels of commitment and loyalty towards the organization. These contracts are influenced by several factors, including perceived organizational support (Leach, 2009). Therefore, establishing an atmosphere of trust is essential for organizations seeking to enhance employee engagement (Haryadi, Irma Anggraeni, & Nasir Ibrahim, 2018).

The psychological contract includes a general expectation of safety against threats, such as job insecurity, and a more specific expectation of social safety. When these psychological contract terms are fulfilled, employees are more likely to share knowledge, voice opinions, and take risks. Employees are motivated to fulfill the implicit obligations stipulated in the contract to reduce uncertainty regarding their value to the organization.

5. Building Organizational Resilience Through Learning

Building an organization's resilience relies heavily on learning. First, learning agility—the ability and willingness to adapt one's mindset and behavior in response to new demands—indicates an organization's change-readiness and is essential for continual renewal (Stokes, et al., 2019). Analyzing the behaviours and mindsets of employees reveals agility levels and learning priorities, providing starting points for knowledge, skills, and capabilities linked to vital, emerging challenges (M. Brykman & D. King, 2021). Second, effective knowledge management—systems and practices for capturing, transferring, and preserving knowledge—enables business continuity when external shifts threaten core operations. Examining knowledge transfer and retention loops, as well

as the know-how deemed crucial for future resilience, allows organizations to ensure prioritization, orchestration, and protection (Dayaram & Fung, 2014).

Third, innovation ecosystems—social and organizational structures that promote experimentation and collaborative learning—support resilience by enabling rapid testing of new insights and capabilities before deploying them across the broader organization. Analyzing and optimizing the governance of external collaborations, ensuring compliance with security protocols, and streamlining processes for acceding to the ecosystem facilitate participation without overuse of sensitive proprietary information.

5.1. Learning Agility and Change Readiness

Learning agility is a crucial competency, defined as the willingness and ability to learn new skills to perform under challenging conditions. Learning agility includes two elements: learning orientation and learning ability. Individuals high in learning orientation actively seek new growth opportunities and experiences; those regarded as learning agile repeatedly ask for constructive feedback and systematically reflect on their own experiences. High learning ability enables individuals to rapidly assimilate new insights and transfer knowledge between situations. People with high learning agility frequently pursue innovation, explore new ideas, give and receive candid feedback, and demonstrate flexibility in thinking and actions (Jo & Hong, 2022).

Learning agility is therefore closely linked to innovative behavior, which involves intentionally introducing and applying new ideas, processes, or products to yield a benefit to the organization. Empirical studies indicate that learning agility positively influences innovative behavior and that both learning agility and innovative behavior are associated with enhanced organizational performance. (Jo & Hong, 2022).

Employee engagement is an important mechanism through which learning agility influences innovative behavior. Employees with a strong willingness to learn engage in and pursue new skills, knowledge, and experiences, enabling them to devise innovative solutions and suggestions that contribute to the organization. Through commitment support and connection to the organization, perceived organizational support also significantly mediates the relationship between learning agility and innovative behavior. Employees who trust that the organization values their contributions are more likely to proactively respond to challenges and develop innovative ideas, and in challenging situations, they seek growth opportunities rather than withdraw from or avoid them ((Sandra) Doeze Jager-van Vliet, 2017)..

5.2. Knowledge Management and Continuity

Knowledge is a source of sustainable competitive advantage (Fannoun & Kerins, 2018). Organizations face challenges of knowledge retention and transfer. Knowledge retention aims to achieve organizational resilience, enabling effective operation under stress by identifying and codifying critical know-how (Ikpe. Umoh & Amah, 2013). Employees choose when, with whom, and for which purpose to share knowledge. The necessity is not solely to transfer employees' knowledge to the organization, but also to respect

autonomy by offering the possibility for knowledge sharing on a voluntary basis. Socialization enables employees to collaborate with different groups, fostering the transfer of tacit knowledge and reducing isolation.

5.3. Innovation Ecosystems and Rapid Experimentation

Organizations can foster resilience by establishing innovation ecosystems and adopting rapid experimentation that supports exploration—and, sometimes, exploitation—of new ideas. To facilitate exploration, they should create a collaborative innovation ecosystem that engages multiple internal and external stakeholders. Structures connecting players from diverse disciplines broaden perspectives during ideation. For hands-on experimentation, organizations can leverage a fail-fast approach based on iterative cycles. Each cycle tests hypotheses, verifies or refutes assumptions, collects data, and derives lessons to guide subsequent iterations (Habiyaremye, 2021); (Agogué & Yström, 2017).

6. Implementation Frameworks for the Workplace

The implementation of innovative learning practices requires the establishment of governance frameworks that clarify leadership roles and define ethical and equitable usage policies. Additionally, policy and planning activities are needed to frame the corresponding technology architecture, data governance, and change management processes.

Governance frameworks are necessary to initiate and organize the implementation of innovative learning practices. Such frameworks typically include provisions for the establishment of an implementation committee, procedures for policy formulation and review, and mechanisms to ensure compliance with the outlined principles (I. Harrison & M. Shortell, 2020). When innovative learning is to be harnessed for employee engagement and organizational resilience, the aforementioned frameworks must encompass three overarching considerations. First, policies and practices must encourage participation and engagement by addressing power imbalances arising from traditional hierarchies, respect, privilege, and other forms of discrimination related to race, gender, age, or geographical location (Rowe, Wall, Cregan, Evans, & Hindley, 2019). Second, efforts must be made to safeguard the personal well-being of individuals who participate in learning-oriented activities within organizations and who engage in the peer review or critique of materials, approaches, and methods used in the learning process. Third, the introduction of innovative learning practices must be guided by principles of fairness that guarantee equitable access to resources and opportunities, irrespective of job role, employment tenure, or other factors that commonly shape organizational behaviour.

Once the governing conditions for innovative learning have been established, it is vital to frame the corresponding technology architecture and data governance policies. Central to the implementation of this set of learning approaches is the identification of the platforms or channels over which learning materials and information are to be made available. For organizations operating in fully or partly digital environments, the main options include open-source repositories, corporate intranets, email, and dedicated corporate open-

learning environments. Each of these options differs considerably in terms of degree of openness, accessibility, and required institutional capacity for material preparation and dissemination. Accordingly, the policy framework should clarify the levels and types of access that will be afforded to learners by each platform. (Adil, Ali, Sultan, & Ashiq…, (n.d)).

Data governance is equally crucial because innovative learning approaches advocate for the sharing of personal development records during formal and informal learning within a workplace context. The specific data to be shared, the metadata accompanying those data, the privacy expectations of data recipients, and how long shared data will stay accessible beyond the completion of any learning activity should all be articulated in the policy statement. Similarly, the capacity for peer review, critique, and suggestive feedback by respected others, if permitted, should likewise be guided by equally clear specifications regarding the degree of anonymity of records and reflections, the nature of the accompanying feedback, and so on. (Zamiri & Esmaeili, 2024).

The conditions that surround the introduction of innovative learning must also be defined and clarified. Organizations will already possess some form of change management practices, since change is inherent to organizational life. Change management therefore represents an important foundational aspect of innovative learning and proceeds essentially along the same axis while considering specific complementary points. Such points pertain to the designation of a senior executive sponsor, the involvement of others in clarifying and communicating the rationale for the engagement and direction of organizational learning, and the nature of capacity-building efforts for existing and potential participants in innovative learning. (Chychun, Grechanyk, Khliebnikova, Temchenko, & Kravchenko, 2023).

6.1. Governance, Ethics, and Inclusion

The significance of governance, ethics, and inclusion in organizations is epitomized in paradigms such as building learning organizations, organizational change, and fostering diversity. Particularly, higher education institutions are challenged to create campus environments conducive to student success and to heed the voices of multi-ethnic communities longing for equity and social justice. The establishment of organizational culture within these universities and the enforcement of a positive ethical organizational identity are paramount to the promotion of ethical behavior. (Kim & Lee, 2024).

Effective governance frameworks are especially critical during institutional transformative efforts, given the pressures exerted by multiple stakeholders (Lewis-King, 2017). Accountability for decisions guiding policy development, resource allocation, and strategic direction must therefore be clearly laid out among governing boards, executive leadership, lower-level administrators, and others. Trust in the governing body around these dimensions can be bolstered through greater transparency concerning policy development, decision-making processes, and deliberative methodologies. It is similarly paramount for effective governance to be matched with ethical standards and inclusive

practices. Institutions must collectively ensure their governing bodies continue to carry out these duties with integrity, fairness, impartiality, honesty, and ethical responsibility, holding themselves accountable to policies aimed at enacting the core values and mission statements of the organization. Governance, therefore, is best understood as "the basic framework, structures, and processes by which institutions are guided and held to account" and, alongside leadership and management, as a crucial determinant of institutional functioning (Hegedues, 2018).

6.2. Technology Architecture and Data Governance

Learning Platform Architecture An organization's technology architecture enables the use of dedicated learning platforms and informal share-and-ask tools, optimally integrated while minimizing data silos. Ideally, organizations design a comprehensive technology platform that unifies systems for learning, knowledge, and employee engagement. Such efforts span cloud-based infrastructure as well as enterprise-wide, platform-as-a-service (PaaS) solutions that enable tailored applications with low-code tools. Services support the development of native mobile capabilities as needed.

Content covering someone's role in a community of practice, the implementation of a learning-agility campaign, or social-learning-system usage patterns could be delivered through platform development. The investment required for full deployment depends on the number of readers and the degree to which each needs content adapted to the mobile experience. While full dev-once-deploy-many capability is an aspiration, trade-offs can reduce costs. (Young, et al., 2023).

All technology built on an organization's PaaS environment enjoy interoperability, meaning that the platform can be presented as a coherent technological environment to the user. In addition, the ownership model means that sensitive and regulated information is governed by the organization's own policies and procedures. All regulatory requirements around data privacy, information security, and anonymity in terms of analysis are adhered to according to the policies of the organization. Where the organization relies on third-party services for platforms that are not built in-house, it includes clauses around data privacy and ownership in such agreements. (Olukoya, 2022).

6.3. Change Management and Leadership Roles

Innovative Learning Practices for Enhancing Employee Engagement and Organizational Resilience Change Management and Leadership Roles

Change requires support from senior leaders, advocates at all levels, and effective communication. Change management frameworks help clarify these roles, map stakeholders, and identify required actions (A Appleby, 2017).

For innovative learning approaches to take root and flourish, the organization must foster an environment conducive to continuous learning. Leaders throughout the organization broaden and sustain change momentum by recognizing the critical role of learning in organizational effectiveness and agility, by modelling their own learning journeys, and

by sharing lessons learned from experiments, successes, and failures. While framing and communicating the organizational vision, they also help establish expectations around ownership for learning and to build relevant capabilities—developing questions to engage in meaningful dialogue, asking for feedback on personal learning journeys, and providing opportunities for peers to fulfill their own learning trajectories. (Nuraini, 2024).

7. Conclusion

Aligning with the objectives of employee engagement, organizational resilience, and knowledge transfer, innovative learning practices enable organizations to welcome new employees, up-skill existing ones, and re-skill individuals being reassigned across business units due to mergers, acquisitions, or corporate restructuring. The innovative learning practices described challenge traditional instructional designs and promote innovative learning among employees working in various groups and teams. These practices encourage employees to learn themselves, share what they have learned, collaborate with colleagues in their teams or user groups, and reflect upon what they have learned individually and collectively. These practices occur in distinct phases and may be used independently or in combination.

Reflecting on the specific procedures used, desirable criteria, room for improvement, and relevant facilitation methods further clarifies the applicability of the innovative learning practices. Research on formal learning has made substantial strides, yet complementary study of informal learning remains underdeveloped. Work organization and work design—the how and why of work—constitute equally vital informal learning considerations. Organizations often spend significant amounts on formal learning when the insights provided by work organization and work design could guide more effective and efficient informal learning solutions. Such organization- and design-level learning remains unexplored, and plans to investigate—independently or in collaboration with others—still require formation.

Transferring knowledge without physically moving people represents another less-explored dimension. Redundant travel representing unrecoverable company resources often accompanies the physical transfer of personnel, so enhancing the movement of knowledge—distinct from the people who produce it—remains a priority for many organizations.

References:

(Sandra) Doeze Jager-van Vliet, S. B. (2017). Proactive and Adaptive Agility among Employees. *the relationship with personal and situational factors*.

A Appleby, C. (2017). Re-imagining A Learning Program For New Faculty: An Opportunity To Enhance Institutional Capacity.

Adil, H. M., Ali, S., Sultan, M., & Ashiq…, M. ((n.d)). Open education resources' benefits and challenges in the academic world. *a systematic review. ... Knowledge. emerald.com*.

Agogué, M., & Yström, A. (2017). Experimenting with innovation processes: the case of reinventing a museum through collaboration.

AlMunthiri, O., Bani Melhem, S., Mohd Shamsudin, F., & Al-Naqbi, S. A. (2024). Does leading with inclusiveness promote innovative behaviours? Examining the role of work engagement and psychological safety. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 32(10), 2468-2493.

Chychun, V., Grechanyk, O., Khliebnikova, T., Temchenko, O., & Kravchenko, H. (2023). Change management models and methods: implementing innovations, ensuring sustainability and engaging staff.

Cranton, P. (2023). Understanding and promoting transformative learning: A guide to theory and practice.

Dayaram, K., & Fung, L. (2014). Organisational learning in the Philippines: How do team and individual learning contribute?

de Vreede, T., Andel, S., de Vreede, G. J., Spector, P., Singh, V., & Padmanabhan, B. (2019). What is Engagement and How Do We Measure It? *Toward a Domain Independent Definition and Scale*.

Dolowitz, A., Collier, J., Hayes, A., & Kumsal, C. (2022). Iterative Design and Integration of a Microlearning Mobile App for Performance Improvement and Support for NATO Employees.

Fannoun, S., & Kerins, J. (2018). Evaluating current practice and proposing a system to enhance knowledge assets within a small software development unit.

Frison, D., & Tino, C. (2019). The transformative value of professional development. *Research into consultants' perspectives regarding training and consultancy*.

Habiyaremye, A. (2021). Co-Operative Learning and Resilience to COVID-19 in a Small-Sized South African Enterprise.

Hardie, P., O'Donovan, R., Jarvis, S., & Redmond, C. (2022). Key tips to providing a psychologically safe learning environment in the clinical setting.

Haryadi, H., Irma Anggraeni, A., & Nasir Ibrahim, D. (2018). MANAGING TALENTED WORKER IN THE ERA OF NEW PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRACT.

Hasan, M., Haque, M. A., Nishat, S. S., & Hossain, M. M. (2024). Upskilling and reskilling in a rapidly changing job market: Strategies for organizations to

maintain workforce agility and adaptability. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 9(6), 118-126.

Hegedues, E. (2018). In Governance We Trust: Introducing a Comprehensive University Governance Education Program to Improve Institutional Trust.

Helkama, S. (2019). Encouraging Innovative Behaviour in White-collar Work. *Idea Management Beneath the Surface.*

I. Harrison, M., & M. Shortell, S. (2020). Multi-level analysis of the learning health system: Integrating contributions from research on organizations and implementation.

Ikpe. Umoh, G., & Amah, E. (2013). Knowledge Management and Organizational Resilience in Nigerian Manufacturing Organizations.

Jang, E., & Kim, Y. C. (2025). Autonomy Constrained: The Dynamic Interplay Among Job Autonomy, Work Engagement, and Innovative Behavior Under Performance Pressure. *Administrative Sciences*.

Jo, Y., & Hong, A. J. (2022). Impact of agile learning on innovative behavior: A moderated mediation model of employee engagement and perceived organizational support. *Frontiers in Psychology*.

Kim, D., & Lee, M. J. (2024). The role of ethical leadership in enhancing organizational culture and performance. *International Journal of Management, Business, and Economics*, 1(2).

Leach, T. (2009). Maybe I can fly: nurturing personal and collective learning in professional learning communities.

Lewis-King, T. (2017). A Challenge to Inclusive Excellence: What We Can Learn from a Jesuit Catholic University.

Liu, Q., Tong, S., Liu, C., Zhao, H., Chen, E., Ma, H., et al. (2019). Exploiting Cognitive Structure for Adaptive Learning.

M. Brykman, K., & D. King, D. (2021). A Resource Model of Team Resilience Capacity and Learning.

M. Elsayed, A., Zhao, B., El-mohsen Goda, A., & M. Elsetouhi, A. (2023). The role of error risk taking and perceived organizational innovation climate in the relationship between perceived psychological safety and innovative work behavior. *A moderated med*.

Miri, S. (2018). Digital Twin for Hybrid Installations.

Nuraini, N. (2024). Creating Environments for Continuous Employee Development and Learning. *Economics and Digital Business Review*.

Olukoya, O. (2022). Assessing frameworks for eliciting privacy & security requirements from laws and regulations. *Computers & Security*.

Perkins, C. (2016). Incorporating Experiential Theory into Virtual Strategic Planning Processes.

Polvinen, H. (2017). You want to do your own stuff because there is a team that needs you - an extensive multiple case study on motivational self-management in a self-directed work team.

Razmerita, L., & Tan, C. W. (2017). A Mixed-Methods Study of a Non-Profit Organization.

Rowe, L., Wall, T., Cregan, K., Evans, V., & Hindley, A. (2019). Pedagogies for resilience in business schools. *Exploring strategies and tactics*.

Sacramento, C., Lyubovnikova, J., Martinaityte, I., Gomes, C., Curral, L., & Juhasz-Wrench, A. (2024). Being open, feeling safe and getting creative: The role of team mean openness to experience in the emergence of team psychological safety and team creativity. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 41(1), 12-35.

Samuel David, J. (2018). Development of a Digital Twin of a Flexible Manufacturing System for Assisted Learning.

Sigursteinsdottir, H., & Karlsdottir, F. B. (2022). Does social support matter in the workplace? Social support, job satisfaction, bullying and harassment in the workplace during COVID-19. *International journal of environmental research and public health,*, 19(8), 4724.

Smith, R., Dymock, D., & Billett, S. (2013). Learning and training for sustained employability across working lives.

Stokes, P., Smith, S., Wall, T., Moore, N., Rowland, C., Ward, T., et al. (2019). Resilience and the (Micro-)Dynamics of Organizational Ambidexterity. *Implications for Strategic HRM*.

Tahir Nadeem, M. (2018). The Effect of Learning Organization Environment and Innovative Work Behavior Under the Moderation Role of Employee Engagement in Public Sector Organization.

Vallo Hult, H., & Byström, K. (2022). Challenges to learning and leading the digital workplace. *Studies in Continuing Education*.

van der Sluijs, K., & Michael Höver, K. (2009). Integrating Adaptive Functionality in a LMS.

Vassoyan, J., Vie, J. J., & Lemberger, P. (2023). Towards Scalable Adaptive Learning with Graph Neural Networks and Reinforcement Learning.

Wang, T., Towey, D., Yuk-kwan Ng, R., & Singh Gill, A. (2021). Towards Post-pandemic Transformative Teaching and Learning. *Case Studies of Microlearning Implementations in two Post-secondary Educational Institutions*.

Winget, M., & Persky, A. M. (2022). A practical review of mastery learning. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*.

Young, J., McQueen, N., Charalambous, C., Foteinis, S., Hawrot, O., Ojeda, M., et al. (2023). The cost of direct air capture and storage can be reduced via strategic deployment but is unlikely to fall below stated cost targets. *One Earth*, 6(7), 899-917.

Zamiri, M., & Esmaeili, A. (2024). Strategies, methods, and supports for developing skills within learning communities: A systematic review of the literature. *Administrative Sciences*.